UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/589,437	08/01/2007	Kenichi Shiraishi	1220.1001	9870	
Staas & Halsey	7590 08/03/201	EXAMINER			
1201 New York	Avenue, N.W., 7th Fl	ASFAW, MESFIN T			
Washington, DO	20003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2882		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/03/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summany			Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
			10/589,437	SHIRAISHI, KEN	SHIRAISHI, KENICHI			
Office Action Summary			xaminer	Art Unit				
		N	Mesfin T. Asfaw	2882				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appea	rs on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSIAN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comming period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(a unication. tutory period will a will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMUNIC  a). In no event, however, may a re  apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT  use the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  "HS from the mailing date of this of the NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>24 Mav</i>	2010.					
•			ction is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition	<i>'</i> —		rs prosecution as to th	e merits is			
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) 6-8,19,21 and 23 is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 9-18, 20, 22, 24-29</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or e	lection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	Evaminer						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		ted or h) Ohiected to h	v the Examiner				
10/	Applicant may not request that any object	-	· · · · · ·	-				
					ER 1 121(d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
·	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,						
	-	for foreign nr	iority under 35 H S C &	119(a)-(d) or (f)				
· .	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
۵/۱	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ None of.  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date				
_	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment filed on 05/24/2010 has been entered. Claims 1-29 are pending in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 16, 18, 22, and 24-28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being Hirukawa by [PCT/JP03/15675].

Note: In this Office Action, Hirukawa [US 2006/0164615 A1] is used as an English translation of PCT/JP03/15675 document.

3. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

As per Claims 1, Hirukawa teaches an exposure apparatus 100 (See fig. 1) that exposes a substrate by irradiating the substrate with exposure light through a projection optical system and a liquid (See fig. 3), comprising:

a liquid supply mechanism 32 that supplies the liquid between an image plane side tip part of the projection optical system and an object that opposes the tip part (Para 151);

A timer (not shown) that measures the time that has elapsed since the supply of the liquid by the liquid supply mechanism was started (the immersion system of Hirukawa must have a timer to determine the period of time elapsed as expressed in Para 194); and

a control apparatus 20 that determines, based on a measurement result of the timer, whether a space (the space that is occupied by 32), which is between the image plane side tip part of the projection optical system 40 and the object W and includes at least an optical path of the exposure light, is filled with the liquid (Para 193-194).

As per Claim 2, Hirukawa teaches an exposure apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the control apparatus determines, based on the measurement result of the timer, that the space is filled with the liquid when the time that has elapsed since the supply of the liquid was started reaches a prescribed time (Para 194).

As per Claim 3, Hirukawa teaches an exposure apparatus according to claim 1, wherein

The liquid supply mechanism 32 comprises a support port, which supplies the liquid, and a valve 62a, which opens and closes a passage that is connected to the supply port; and

The timer starts the measurement of time when the valve has opened the passage (Para 194).

As per Claim 4, Hirukawa teaches an exposure apparatus according to claim 1, comprising:

A detector that detects a gas portion in the liquid;

Wherein,

After the control apparatus determines that the space is filled with the liquid, it detects a gas portion in the liquid that filled the space (Para 35 and Para 50).

As per Claim 5, Hirukawa teaches an exposure apparatus according to claim 1, wherein

After the control apparatus determines that the space is filled with the liquid, it irradiates the exposure light (Para 198).

As per Claim 25, Hirukawa teaches an exposure apparatus according to claim 1, comprising:

A liquid recovery mechanism that recovers the liquid while the liquid is being supplied by the liquid supply mechanism (Para 38); wherein

The control apparatus 20 determines whether the space is filled with the liquid based upon the measurement result of the timer and information from the liquid recovery mechanism (Para 193-194).

As per Claim 26, Hirukawa teaches an exposure apparatus according to claim 25, wherein the measurement result of the timer is an elapsed time since the supply of the liquid was started (Para 194).

Art Unit: 2882

As per Claim 27, Hirukawa teaches an exposure apparatus according to claim 26, wherein the information from the liquid recovery mechanism is an amount of liquid recovered by the liquid recovery mechanism per unit of time (Para 193-194).

As per Claim 28, Hirukawa teaches an exposure apparatus according to claim 1, comprising:

A liquid recovery mechanism 52 that recovers the liquid while the liquid is being supplied by the liquid supply mechanism (Para 38); wherein

the control apparatus 20 raises an alert when an amount of liquid recovered by the liquid recovery mechanism does not reach a predetermined value after a lapse of a prescribed time measured by the timer, the prescribed time being the elapsed time since the supply of the liquid was started (Para 165-166).

As per Claims 16, 18, 22, Hirukawa teaches the method as claimed, because under the principles of inherency, if a prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the method claims, then the method claimed will be considered to be anticipated by the prior art device. When the prior art device is the same as a device described in the specification for carrying out the claimed method, it can be assumed the device will inherently perform the claimed process. In re King, 801 F.2d 1324,231 MPEP 2112.02".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 9-15, 17, 20, and 29, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Arai [US 20050094125 A1].
- 6. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

As per Claims 9-13 and 29, Arai teaches an exposure apparatus EX (See fig. 7) that exposes a substrate P by irradiating the substrate with exposure light through a projection optical system PL and a liquid 2a, comprising:

A liquid supply mechanism 10 that supplies the liquid between an image plane side tip part of the projection optical system PL and an object P that opposes the tip part;

A liquid recovery mechanism 20 that recovers the liquid;

Arai also teaches a predetermined amount (threshold value) of liquid is held between the projection optical system and the substrate on the substrate stage at least during exposure and the liquid is recovered while moving a recovery port of the liquid recovery mechanism and the object relative to one another. And,

a control apparatus CONT that determines, based on the measurement results of the first measuring instrument and the second measuring instrument, whether a space, which is between the image plane side tip part of the projection optical system and an object opposing the tip part and includes at least an optical path of the exposure light, is filled with the liquid (Para 92-93).

As per Claim 14, Arai teaches an exposure apparatus according to claim 13, wherein

The liquid is recovered while moving a recovery port of the liquid recovery mechanism and the object relative to one another (Para 86).

As per Claim 15, Arai teaches an exposure apparatus according to claim 13, wherein

the object includes the substrate P or a movable substrate stage (PH, PST) that holds the substrate; and

the liquid is filled between the projection optical system and the substrate or a prescribed region AR2 on the substrate stage (Para 88).

As per Claims 17 and 20, Arai teaches the method as claimed, because under the principles of inherency, if a prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the method claims, then the method claimed will be considered to be anticipated by the prior art device. When the prior art device is the same as a device described in the specification for carrying out the claimed method, it can be assumed the device will inherently perform the claimed process. In re King, 801 F.2d 1324,231 MPEP 2112.02".

Art Unit: 2882

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6-8, 19, 21, and 23 are allowed.

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art alone or in combination, neither discloses nor makes obvious a timer that measures the time that has elapsed since the supply of the liquid by the liquid supply mechanism was stopped;

a liquid recovery mechanism that recovers the liquid while the liquid is being supplied by the liquid supply mechanism, as well as after such supply has stopped; and a control apparatus that determines, based on a measurement result of the timer, whether the liquid has been recovered from the space between the image plane side tip part of the projection optical system and the object.

#### Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 05/24/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. In the remark section, page 9-10, Applicant argued that the applied prior art references of [PCT/JP03/15675] to Hirukawa and [US 20050094125 A1] to Arai, can be overcome by Japanese Patent Application No. 2004-045102, which was filed on February 20, 2004. However, Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15. Therefore, the previous rejection has been maintained.

Art Unit: 2882

#### Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mesfin T. Asfaw whose telephone number is 571-270-5247. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 7:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward J. Glick can be reached on 571-272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2882

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mesfin T Asfaw/ Examiner, Art Unit 2882

/Edward J Glick/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2882